NATIONAL HUMAN GENOME RESEARCH INSTITUTE Division of Intramural Research



Genomics in Maternal Child Health

Barbara Bowles Biesecker, M.S., Ph.D., CGC Social and Behavioral Research Branch, NHGRI, NIH

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES | NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH | genome.gov/DIR







Societal Values in Predicting Genetic Risk

Market Based Economy

Lack of Health Care System

Technologies

X X X X X X

Individual Freedom

Pre-conceptual Counseling

Interpretation of family history Recurrent loss of male fetuses

Assessment of maternal health risks Woman with dwarfing syndrome

Ethnic based carrier screening Ashkenazi Jewish

Genetic Counseling

Genetic counseling is the process... that integrates:

Interpretation of family and medical histories to assess the chance of disease occurrence or recurrence

Education about inheritance, testing, management, prevention, resources and research

Counseling to promote informed choices and adaptation to the risk or condition

XXXXX

Resta, et al, Am J Med Genet 2006

Clinical Case



Age related risk of aneuploidy Ethnic carrier screening Risk of rare cancer syndrome

Ethnicity Based Carrier Screening

Ethnic Group	Disease	Carrier Frequency	
Ashkenazi Jewish	Canavan Disease	1 in 40 (2.5%)	
	Tay Sachs Disease	1 in 30 (3%)	
	Cystic Fibrosis	1 in 25-29 (4%)	
	Familial Dysautonomia	1 in 30 32 (3%)	
African American / West Africa	Sickle Cell Anemia	1 in 6 – 12 (8 - 16%)	
	Other Hemoglobinopathies	1 in 30 – 75 (up to 3%)	
European Caucasians	Cystic Fibrosis	1 in 25 - 29 (4%)	
Mediterranean / South Asian	Beta Thalassemia	1 in 20 - 30 (3 - 5%)	
SE Asian (Laos, Vietnam,	Alpha Thalassemia	1 in 20 (5%)	
Thailand)	Beta Thalassemia	1 in 30 (3%)	

XXXXXXXXXXXX



Using *My Family Health Portrait* you can: Enter your family health history. Print your family health history to share with family or your health care worker. Save your family health history so you can update it over time.

Talking with your health care worker about your family health history can help you stay healthy!



X X X X X X

U.S. Department of Health & Human Services • National Institutes of Health

NIH Consensus Development Program

NIH State-of-the-Science Conference: <u>Family History and Improving Health</u>

August 24-26, 2009 Bethesda, Maryland



Prenatal Screening/Testing

To identify those at highest risk

X X X X X

To confirm diagnoses among those at highest risk

To ensure informed choice and freedom in decision-making about continuing or terminating an affected fetus

Contemporary Tests

Screening:



Family historyUltrasoundFirst trimester screenSecond trimester-Tri or quad screen

Diagnostic:

Amniocentesis Chorionic villus sampling Pre-implantation genetic diagnosis



Clinical Case



XXXXXXXXXXXX

1st Trimester Screening: Nuchal Translucency ++

Nuchal translucency is combined with hCG and PAPP-A to estimate risks for Trisomies 21, 18 and 13

About 85 out of every 100 affected fetuses will be identified

About 5% of normal pregnancies will receive a positive result



A positive test means that there is a 1/100 to 1/300 chance of the us being affected

2nd Trimester Screening: Triple or Quad Screen

Condition	MSAFP	uE3	hCG
Neural Tube Defect	Increased	Normal	Normal
Trisomy 21	Low	Low	Increased
Trisomy 18	Low	Low	Low
Multiple Gestation	Increased	Normal	Increased
Fetal Demise	Increased	Low	Low

XXXXXXXXX

Invasive Prenatal Testing

Karyotype or molecular testing



Pre-implantation Genetic Diagnosis Karyotype or molecular testing

Option for parents known to be at significant risk for passing on a chromosome or single gene disorder

Involves genetic interrogation of the embryo



Down Syndrome-Trisomy 21



Latest Prenatal Screening/Testing Approaches Universal carrier screening Costs less than ethnic based screening Prenatal microarray analysis Identifies small deletions/duplications Non-invasive prenatal testing Identification of high or low risk of Trisomy 21 Prenatal whole genome sequencing ΚΥΥΥΥΥΥ NATIONAL HUMAN GENOME RESEARCH Division of Intramural Research



Universal Carrier Screening

Not specific to ethnicities, family history

Results returned in 2-3 weeks

Requires a physician or genetic counselor to order test

Out of pocket cost: \$349

X X X X X X X X X

Conditions on Universal Screen

ABCC8-Related Hyperinsulinism Achromatopsia Alkaptonuria Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency Alpha-Mannosidosis Andermann Syndrome ARSACS Aspartylglycosaminuria Ataxia With Vitamin E Deficiency Ataxia-Telangiectasia Autosomal Recessive Polycystic Kidney Disease Bardet-Biedl Syndrome, BBS1-Related Bardet-Biedl Syndrome, BBS10-Related Beta Thalassemia ACOG Biotinidase Deficiency Bloom Syndrome ACMG Canavan Disease ACMG ACOG Carnitine Palmitoyltransferase IA Deficiency Carnitine Palmitoyltransferase II Deficiency Cartilage-Hair Hypoplasia Choroideremia Citrullinemia Type 1 CLN3-Related Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis CLN5-Related Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis Cohen Syndrome Congenital Disorder of Glycosylation Type Ia Congenital Disorder of Glycosylation Type Ib Congenital Finnish Nephrosis Costeff Optic Atrophy Syndrome Cvstic Fibrosis ACMG ACOG Cystinosis D-Bifunctional Protein Deficiency Factor XI Deficiency Familial Dysautonomia ACMG ACOG Familial Mediterranean Fever Fanconi Anemia Type C ACMG Galactosemia Gaucher Disease ACMG GJB2-Related DFNB 1 Nonsyndromic Hearing Loss & Deafness Glutaric Acidemia Type 1 Glycogen Storage Disease Type Ia

XXXXXXXXX

Glycogen Storage Disease Type Ib Glycogen Storage Disease Type III Glycogen Storage Disease Type V GRACILE Syndrome Hereditary Fructose Intolerance Hereditary Thymine-Uraciluria Herlitz Junctional Epidermolysis Bullosa, LAMA3-Related Herlitz Junctional Epidermolysis Bullosa, LAMB3-Related Herlitz Junctional Epidermolysis Bullosa, LAMC2-Related Hexosaminidase A Deficiency Homocystinuria Caused by Cystathionine Beta-Synthase Deficiency Hurler Syndrome Hypophosphatasia, Autosomal Recessive Inclusion Body Myopathy 2 Isovaleric Acidemia Joubert Syndrome 2 Krabbe Disease Limb-Girdle Muscular Dystrophy Type 2D Limb-Girdle Muscular Dystrophy Type 2E Lipoamide Dehydrogenase Deficiency Long Chain 3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency Maple Syrup Urine Disease Type 1B Medium Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency Megalencephalic Leukoencephalopathy With Subcortical Cysts Metachromatic Leukodystrophy Mucolipidosis IV ACMG Muscle-Eye-Brain Disease NEB-Related Nemaline Myopathy Niemann-Pick Disease Type C Niemann-Pick Disease, SMPD1-Associated ACMG Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome Northern Epilepsy Pendred Syndrome PEX1-Related Zellweger Syndrome Spectrum Phenylalanine Hydroxylase Deficiency Polyglandular Autoimmune Syndrome Type 1 Pompe Disease PPT1-Related Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis Primary Carnitine Deficiency

Primary Hyperoxaluria Type 1 Primary Hyperoxaluria Type 2 PROP1-Related Combined Pituitary Hormone Deficiency Pseudocholinesterase Deficiency Pycnodysostosis Rhizomelic Chondrodysplasia Punctata Type 1 Salla Disease Segawa Syndrome Short Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency Sickle Cell Disease ACOG Sjogren-Larsson Syndrome Smith-Lemli-Opitz Syndrome Spinal Muscular Atrophy ACMG Steroid-Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome Sulfate Transporter-Related Osteochondrodysplasia Tay-Sachs Disease ACMG ACOG TPP1-Related Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis Tyrosinemia Type I Usher Syndrome Type 1F Usher Syndrome Type 3 Very Long Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency Wilson Disease X-Linked Invenile Retinoschisis



Prenatal Microarray Testing

Detects copy number variants that can detect microdeletions and micro-duplications

2% are CNVs of unknown significance

X X X X X X X X X

Often limited cases in the literature to predict variable expressivity and penetrance

Can be a significant degree of uncertainty to guide decisions about whether to continue a pregnancy

Validation Studies

NIH funded validation study done on >4000 samples from routine amniocentesis or CVS

CMA detected additional genetic abnormalities in about one out of every 70 fetal samples that had a normal karyotype

When a birth defect was imaged by ultrasound, CMA found additional important genetic information in six percent of cases.

X X X X X X

Wapner et al in press

Non-Invasive Prenatal Diagnosis for Trisomy 21

Pregnancies with Trisomy 21 have a higher percentage of chromosome 21 fragments (> 3 SD) than that of euploid pregnancies (*within* \pm 3 SD) z-score > \pm 3 = 99% chance





Lo et al. Lancet, 1998 Chiu et al. Trends in Genetics, 2009

Non-Invasive Prenatal Diagnosis

1696 Singleton Pregnancies Undergoing Invasive Testing

Gestational Age < 15 weeks 105 Down Syndrome Cases 735 Euploid {7:1 match} Gestational Age ≥ 15weeks 107 Down Syndrome Cases 749 Euploid {7:1 match}

NIPD	Proportion	Sample Estimate (%)	95% CI
DS Detection Rate	209/212	98.6	96.0-99.0
False Positive Rate	3/1471	0.2	0.1-0.6
Failure Rate	13/1696	0.8	

Palomaki et al. Genet. Med., 2011

Clinical Implementation of NIPD

Only offered to women at high risk Considered an advanced screening test – not diagnostic Turnaround time 8-10 days How are results are reported? Test (+) or Test (-) Modified risk not reported in results Those who test (+) are recommended to follow-up with invasive testing prior to termination of pregnancy Cost

Insured = \$235 Uninsured = \$1,900

X X X X X X X X X X



Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing in Comparison

Screening or Diagnostic Test	Detectio n Rate for DS	False Positive Rate	Routine Test Window (wks gest)	Procedure- related Risk to Pregnancy
1 st Trimester Screen (Serum + Ultrasound Measurements)	95%	5%	9-13	None
2 nd Trimester Quad Screen	70-80%	5%	14-22	None
Chorionic Villus Sampling	> 99%		10-13	1:175
Amniocentesis	> 99%		16-20	1:300
NIPD	98.6%	0.2%	7-20	None

Palomaki et al. Genet Med 2011

From Chromosomes to Sequencing



http://blog.goldenhelix.com/?p=822

Bio-ethical Considerations

Do we devalue the lives of those affected with genetic conditions by offering testing?

Is the unstated intention to promote the termination of affected fetuses?

Where do testing options leave those who choose not to use them?

How do those women with fewer resources avail themselves of the options?

Newborn Screening

PKU screeningExpanded into a panel of at least 29 conditionsDiscussion of whole genome sequencing

X X X X X X X X



Universal Newborn Screening



Groups of disorders	Incidence
Amino acid disorders (5)	1:20,000-1:500,000
Fatty acid oxidation disorders (5)	1:15,000-1:100,000
Hemoglobinopathies (3)	1:5,000-1:50,000
Organic acid disorders (9)	1:75,000-1:300,000
Endocrine disorders (2)	1:5,000-1:25,000
Other (4)	1:5,000-1:75,000

XXXXXXXXXXXX

Bio-ethical Cautions

HASTINGS CENTER REPORT July/August 2012 Prenatal Whole Genome Sequencing: *Just Because We Can, Should We?* by GREER DONLEY, SARA CHANDROS HULL, and BENJAMIN E. BERKMAN

JAMA February 1, 2012 The Ethical Hazards and Programmatic Challenges of Genomic Newborn Screening by AARON GOLDENBERG, and RICHARD SHARP



Policy Considerations

Do we sufficiently inform parents about NBS?

Should we be testing for rare conditions for which there is no treatment?

Should there be a uniform criteria upheld for deciding whether to add new tests?

If whole genome sequencing is introduced how should results for adult onset conditions be handled?

Social Science Investigations of Prenatal Testing

Informed choice in making health related decisions

Predictors of decisions to undergo testing

X X X X X X X X

Interventions to enhance informed choice and satisfaction

Assessment of Genetic Counseling Practice

Central thesis-informed choice should be a primary metric by which genetic counseling is evaluated

Informed choice-one made with sufficient understanding of relevant information, consistent with one's attitudes toward the object of the decision

Uninformed choices, as seen in prenatal screening, are associated with decisional conflict and regret

Dormandy et al, *Psych Health* 2006



Michie et al, Pt Educ Couns 2002

Ambivalence in Prenatal Testing Decisions

When making a health-related decision, attitudes are a strong predictor of the outcome

Ambivalence defined as having conflicting thoughts or feelings about prenatal testing

Prenatal genetic counseling clients often have ambivalence about undergoing prenatal testing

Diag 2009

Ambivalence has been associated with uninformed choice in prenatal screening decisions

Sapp, J et al Prenat

Ambivalence in Prenatal **Testing Decisions** Ambivalence Attitudes toward Prenatal Prenatal Testing Testing Low $R^2 = 0.489$ Decision High $R^2 = 0.034$ * p<0.001

Z score 2.1 p = 0.02

X X X X X X X X X X



Patient Interest and Expected Uptake of Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing

Single most important factor in decision about NIPD Elimination of miscarriage risk (75%) Accuracy of results (13%)

Interest in NIPD Very interested (56.4%)

Somewhat interested (15.5%)

Preference for NIPD or current diagnostic tests Prefer NIPD but follow-up positive results with CVS/amnio (33.6%) Prefer NIPD and would use for decisions about pregnancy (30.6%) Prefer CVS/Amnio only (3.6%)

Likelihood to terminate an affected pregnancy based on NIPD

Likely (33.9%) Unsure (33.0%) Not Likely (33.0%)

Tischler et al. Prenat Diagn 2011

In Summary

Onslaught of new tests with insufficient evidence to set practice standards The volume of information learned can be vast but there are limits on our ability to interpret it and use it Need for new clinical paradigms and education of providers

X X X X X X X

Turner syndrome 46, XO













Summer Reading Top 10 NYT Book Review 2011



XXXXXXXXXXXX

A Tather's Journey to Understand His ExtensedInters Son

The BOY in the MOON Jan Brown