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## The Trajectory of Throughput: 10 years


E.R. Mardis, Nature (2011) 470: 198-203

## Comparative costs: sequencing a human genome


$\square$

## Next-generation DNA sequencing instruments

- All commercially-available sequencers have the following shared attributes:
- Random fragmentation of starting DNA, ligation with custom linkers = "a library"
- Library amplification on a solid surface (either bead or glass)
- Direct step-by-step detection of each nucleotide base incorporated during the sequencing reaction
- Hundreds of thousands to hundreds of millions of reactions imaged per instrument run = "massively parallel sequencing"
- Shorter read lengths than capillary sequencers
- A "digital" read type that enables direct quantitative comparisons
- A sequencing mechanism that samples both ends of every fragment sequenced ("paired end" reads)


## Paired-end reads

- All next-gen platforms now offer paired end read capability, e.g. sequences can be derived from both ends of the library fragments.
- Differences exist in the _distance_ between read pairs, based on the approach/platform.
- "paired ends" : linear fragment sequenced at both ends in two separate reactions
- "mate pairs" : circularized fragment of $>1 \mathrm{~kb}$, sequenced by a single reaction read or by two separate end reads (platform dependent)
- In general, paired end reads offer advantages for sequencing large and complex genomes because they can be more accurately placed ("mapped") than can single ended short reads.



## 454 Instrumentation

| Instrument | Run <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{hr})$ | Read <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{bp})$ | Yield <br> $(\mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{run})$ | Error <br> Type | Error <br> Rate <br> $(\%)$ | Purchase <br> Cost <br> $(\times 1000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 454 FLX+ | $18-20$ | 700 | 900 | Indel | 1 | $\$ 30 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 454 FLX Titanium | 10 | 400 | 500 | Indel | 1 | $\$ 500$ |
| 454 GS Jr. Titanium | 10 | 400 | 50 | Indel | 1 | $\$ 108$ |

A- Requires the 454 FLX Titanium. This is the upgrade cost.

## Notable:

- Mate pair paired end reads of $3 \mathrm{~kb}, 8 \mathrm{~kb}$ and 20 kb separation without an increase in run time.
- Cost per run makes sequencing an entire human genome cost-prohibitive relative to other technologies ( $\sim 20 / \mathrm{Mbp}$ )
- Great platform for targeted validation


## Illumina Sequencing: Library Preparation

DNA fragments


- • $\quad$ : Blunting by Fill-in

${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \downarrow \mathrm{p} \quad$ Phosphorylation

${ }^{\bullet} \downarrow 0 \quad$ Addition of A-overhang



Illumina's Library Preparation Workilow



## Illumina Instrumentation

- 2010: HiSeq 2000
- Two flow cells per run
- $100 \mathrm{Gbp} / \mathrm{FC}$ or two genome equivalents per run
- New scanning mechanics - scans both surfaces of FC lanes
- 2011: HiSeq 2000
- Improved chemistry (v. 3): increased yield and accuracy
- 2011: MiSeq

| Instrument | Run <br> Time <br> (days) | Read <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{bp})$ | Yield <br> $(\mathrm{Gb} /$ run $)$ | Error <br> Type | Error <br> Rate <br> $(\%)$ | Purchase <br> Cost <br> $(\times 1000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAllx | 14 | $150 \times 150$ | 96 | Sub | $>0.1$ | $\$ 525$ |
| HiSeq 2000 | 8 | $100 \times 100$ | $200 \times 2$ | Sub | $>0.1$ | $\$ 700$ |
| HiSeq $2000 \mathrm{v3}$ | 10 | $100 \times 100$ | $<600$ | Sub | $>0.1$ | $\$ 700$ |
| MiSeq | 1 | $150 \times 150$ | 2 | Sub | $>0.1$ | $\$ 125$ |

## Life Technologies: sequencing by ligation



## SOLiD Instrumentation

| Instrument | Run <br> Time <br> $($ days $)$ | Read <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{bp})$ | Yield <br> $(\mathrm{Gb} /$ run $)$ | Error <br> Type | Error <br> Rate <br> $(\%)$ | Purchase <br> Cost <br> $(\times 1000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOLiD 4 | 12 | $50 \times 35 \mathrm{PE}$ | 71 | A-T Bias | $>0.06$ | $\$ 475$ |
| SOLiD $5500 \times \mathrm{xl}$ | 8 | $75 \times 35 \mathrm{PE}$ <br> $60 \times 60 \mathrm{MP}$ | 155 | A-T Bias | $>0.01$ | $\$ 595$ |

## 5500 x

- Front-end automation addresses bottlenecks at emPCR, breaking, and enrichment of beads
- 6-lane Flow Chip with independent lanes/2 per run
- Cost per whole genome data set is predicted to be $\$ 6 \mathrm{~K}$ by 2011
- Very high accuracy data due to two-base encoding
- ECC Module - An optional $6^{\text {th }}$ primer that increases accuracy to $99.999 \%$
- Direct conversion of color space to base space
- True paired-end chemistry enabled - Ligation reaction can be used in either direction
© Elaine R. Mardis


## Third generation sequencers??

- Recently, new sequencing platforms were introduced.
- The Pacific Biosciences sequencer is a single molecule detection system that marries nanotechnology with molecular biology.
- The Ion Torrent uses pH rather than light to detect nucleotide incorporations.
- The MiSeq is a scaled down version of the HiSeq, with faster chemistry and scanning.
- All offer a faster run time, lower cost per run, reduced amount of data generated relative to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gen platforms, and the potential to address genetic questions in the clinical setting.


## Comparisons to Third-Generation Sequencers

| Company | Platform <br> Name | Sequencing | Amplification | Run Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roche | 454 Ti | DNA Polymerase <br> "Pyrosequencing" | emPCR | 10 hours |
| Illumina | Hi-Seq/ <br> MiSeq | DNA Polymerase | Bridge <br> amplification | 10 days/ <br> 24 hours |
| Life | SOLiD/ <br> 5500 | DNA Ligase | emPCR | 12 days |
| Ion Torrent | PGM | Synthesis <br> $H^{+}$detection | emPCR | 2 hours |
| Pacific <br> Biosciences | RS | Synthesis | NONE | 45 min |

## Pacific Biosciences RS



## The SMRTbell ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Library Types



Consensus Read

## PacBio RS Instrumentation

| Instrument | Run Time <br> (Hours) | Read <br> Length <br> $(\mathrm{bp})$ | Yield <br> (Mb) | Error <br> Type | Error <br> Rate <br> $(\%)$ | Purchase <br> Cost <br> $(\times 1000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RS | 14 <br> $(\sim 8$ <br> SMRTCells) | 2500 | 45 per <br> SMRTCell | Insertions | 15 | $\$ 695$ |


| mean mapped sub-read accuracy: | $86.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| mean mapped sub-read length: | $3,416 \mathrm{bp}$ |
| maximum mapped read length: | $8,580 \mathrm{bp}$ |
| maximum 95th percentile mapped read length: | $5,807 \mathrm{bp}$ |



## ION Torrent Personal Genome Machine (PGM)



## Ion Torrent Yield Trajectory




## Oxford Nanopore Sequencing



## Applying Next Generation Sequencing

- Genomes: re-sequencing or de novo
- point mutation/indel/structural variation discovery
- Protein:DNA binding
- Chromatin IP/histone binding
- Nucleosome/transcription factor binding, etc.
- ncRNA discovery/sequencing/variants
- Transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq)
- Genome-wide methylation of DNA (Methyl-seq)
- Clinical sequencing for therapeutic decisions
E.R. Mardis, Annual Reviews in Genetics \& Genomics (2008)
E.R. Mardis, Nature (2011) 470: 198-203
© Elaine R. Mardis

Whole Genome Sequencing: Data Production and Alignment

- Prepare paired end libraries as whole genome fragment/shotgun by random shearing of genomic DNA, adapter ligation, size selection.
- Produce paired end data from each end of billions of library fragments, over-sampling about 30 -fold to cover at a depth sufficient to find all types of genome alterations.
- Computer programs align the read pair sequences onto the reference genome and several algorithms are used to discover variants genomewide.

Whole Genome Tumor: Normal Comparison

- Caucasian female, mid-50s at diagnosis
- De novo M1 AML.
- Family history of AML and lymphoma
- 100\% blasts in initial BM sample
- Relapsed and died at 23 months
- Normal cytogenetics
- Informed consent for whole genome sequencing
- Solexa sequencer, 32 bp unpaired reads
- 10 somatic mutations detected



## BreakDancer: detecting somatic structural variation


K. Chen et al., Nature Methods 6: 677-81 (2009)


## An insertion-derived fusion of PML and RARA



PML-RARA: PML exons 1-3 fused to RARA exons 3-9 (bcr3 variant in frame)
RARA-LoxL1: fusion out of frame
LoxL1-PML: truncated protein - premature stop in novel LoxL1 exon 5a

## Use of Whole-Genome Sequencing to Diagnose a Cryptic Fusion Oncogene



Welch et al., JAMA April 20, 2011
© Elaine R. Mardis

## Combining Platforms: de novo Assembly



Two VLR PacBio reads contiguate an Illumina assembly gap

## Rapid Genotyping by Ion Torrent



## Hybrid Capture

- Hybrid capture - fragments from a whole genome library are selected by combining with probes that correspond to most (not all) human exons or gene targets.
- The probe DNAs are biotinylated, making selection from solution with streptavidin magnetic beads an effective means of purification.
- An "exome" by definition, is the exons of all genes annotated in the species' reference genome.
- Custom capture reagents can be synthesized to target specific loci that may be of interest in a clinical context.



## Merkel Cell Polyoma Virus Capture

- Merkel Cell Polyoma virus
- MCPyV shows frequent genomic deletions and sequence mutations that make it difficult to amplify the virus from cases of MCC by PCR
- The circular genome does not contain a defined linearization sequence
- Only FFPE material available for majority of cases
- For proof-of-principle experiments:
- Biotinylated PCR amplicons designed to target entire 5Kb viral genome
- Hybrid capture and sequencing
- Analysis to identify insertion points in human genomes


## Viral Coverage Plots (4 FFPE Samples)



Duncavage et al., JMD 2011
© Elaine R. Mardis
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Finding the Junction (Integration Site) using SLOPE


Table 3. MCC Case Clinical Characteristics, PCR-Verified Viral Insertion Sites, and Viral Deletions

|  | Age of <br> block <br> (years) |  |  |  |  | Sex | Site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample | Type | 6 | M | Buttocks | ch8: 65568962 | ch8: 65566806 | 3.0 kb |
| 12 | Primary | Metastasis | 5 | M | Back | ch8: 65568962 | ch8: 65566806 |

RNA Sequencing


## TNRC6B splice site mutation -> alt. splicing




Stability of virome over time



## Conclusions

- $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ generation sequencing instruments are revolutionizing biological research.
- Earliest impacts have been on cancer genomics and metagenomics.
- The extreme need for bioinformatics-based analytical approaches to interpret these large data sets has revitalized the field and introduced statistical and mathematical rigor.
- Integration across data sets from DNA, RNA, methylation, proteomics, etc. presents the next challenge but provides comprehensive analytical power to inform biology.
- With newer instruments, clinical applications have potential for implementation, with appropriate interpretive algorithms.
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