Integrative Analysis of Human and Mouse Regulomes

> John A. Stamatoyannopoulos, M.D. Depts. of Genome Sciences & Medicine University of Washington

## Living genome features



## **Functional elements**



Mapping human regulatory DNA

## **Functional elements**



## Mapping regulatory DNA using nucleases (DNase I)



DNase I hypersensitive sites precisely mark regulatory DNA



DNase I hypersensitive sites precisely mark regulatory DNA



**Regulatory DNA** 

DNase I hypersensitive sites precisely mark regulatory DNA



DNase I hypersensitive sites precisely mark regulatory DNA



DNase I hypersensitive sites precisely mark regulatory DNA



~100,000 – 250,000 elements per cell type (0.5-1.5% of genome)

#### >400 cell/tissue types and developmental states studied to date >95% from primary cells and tissues



# Mapping the human regulatory genome c. 2015

The human genome encodes at least 4 million DNasel hypersensitive sites
→ Virtually all (>>99%) are tissue/lineage or cell type-selective elements
→ >95% of these are distal non-promoter elements

 $\rightarrow$  ~50% of DHSs are 'memory sites' – persistent marks of prior cell states

#### What other information is encoded in regulatory DNA patterns?



# DHS patterns in fully differentiated cells encode memories of prior cell fate decisions

Abdomen fibroblast   Toe fibroblast   Pulmonary fibroblast   Pulmonary fibroblast   Conjunctival fibroblast   Gingival fibroblast
Pulmonary fibroblast Conjunctival fibroblast
Pulmonary fibroblast Pulmonary fibroblast Conjunctival fibroblast Gingival fibroblast
Conjunctival fibroblast Gingival fibroblast
Gingival fibroblast
Gingival hbroblast
Gingiyal fibrahlast
Gingival hipfoblast
Ingin includes
Derma fibrobiast perpet
Mamary fibrolast Mesoderm
Cardiac fibroblast Derivatives
Cardiac fibroblast
Munfibrohast
Dermal führbildet adult
Chornia hervise enithelial
Pulmonary fibrohast
Non-nigmented ciliary enithelial
Atrial fibroblast
Villous mesenchymal fibroblast
Ampiotic epithelial Primitive Mesoderm
T-Lymphocyte (Th2)
T-Lymphocyte (Th1)
B-lymphocyte (Act.)
B-lymphocyte (Rest.)
NK-lymphocyte (CD56+) NK-cells 꽃 전 필
Lymphoblast (GM12865)
Lymphoblast (GM12864) Lymphoblasts 관 경
Lymphoblast (GM12878) 6 중 문 모
Erythroblasts
Myeloid Progenitor (CD34+) 😤 🚆 🔤
Myeloid Progenitor (CD34+) 호 현 현 환 현 환 현 환 현 환 현 환 현 환 현 환 현 환 현 환
Myeloid Progenitor (CD34+) 로 같 운 문 문
Dermal lymphatic endothelial, neonatal
Dermal blood endothelial, adult
Dermal blood endothelial, neonatal
Pulmonary Imyphatic endothelial
Dermal lymphatic endothelial, adult Endothelia
Dermal microvascular, neonatal
Pulmonary biode endothelial
Renai giomerular endochellai
Skin keratinocyte
Skin keratinocyte Ectoderm
Skill Keratinocyte
Small airway epithelial
Endoderm Endoderm

Stergachis et al, Cell, 2013

# Extensive forward propagation of regulatory information during cell differentiation

Α



#### ~1/2 of DHSs in definitive cells are 'memory sites' that encode information about prior cell states





# Mapping the human regulatory genome c. 2015

The human genome encodes at least 4 million DNasel hypersensitive sites

- $\rightarrow$  Virtually all (>>99%) are tissue/lineage or cell type-selective elements
- $\rightarrow$  >95% of these are distal non-promoter elements
- $\rightarrow$  ~50% of DHSs are 'memory sites' persistent marks of prior cell states
- → Nearly 1 million elements can be linked with likely target genes by co-activation across cell types
- → Individual cell types have hundreds to thousands of DHSs that are <u>completely unique</u> for that cell type

The genome encodes at least 20 million regulatory factor recognition sites

- $\rightarrow$  Each cell type likely encodes ~2-5 million transcription factor footprints
- $\rightarrow$  The average cell type utilizes a recognition 'lexicon' of ~2-300 'words
- $\rightarrow$  We are closing in on a complete recognition lexicon for human TFs

# Mapping the human regulatory genome c. 2015

The human genome encodes at least 4 million DNasel hypersensitive sites

We have little idea what most of these do

The genome encodes at least 20 million regulatory factor recognition sites

- $\rightarrow$  Each cell type likely encodes ~2-5 million transcription factor footprints
- $\rightarrow$  Each cell type utilizes a recognition 'lexicon' of ~2-300 'words
- $\rightarrow$  We are closing in on a complete recognition lexicon for human TFs

# <u>Not just 'enhancers</u>': Most regulatory regions likely encode novel and complex activities that will take some time to sort out



# Mapping the human regulatory genome c. 2015

The human genome encodes at least 4 million DNasel hypersensitive sites

We have little idea what most of these do

The genome encodes at least 20 million regulatory factor recognition sites

- $\rightarrow$  Each cell type likely encodes ~2-5 million transcription factor footprints
- $\rightarrow$  Each cell type utilizes a recognition 'lexicon' of ~2-300 'words
- $\rightarrow$  We are closing in on a complete recognition lexicon for human TFs

# Mapping the human regulatory genome c. 2015

The human genome encodes at least 4 million DNasel hypersensitive sites

We have little idea what most of these do

The genome encodes at least 20 million regulatory factor recognition sites

*Every regulatory region is built differently, and every TF must do its job (and cooperate with other TFs) in its local context.* 



# How did the regulatory genome arise?

## Part I:

# Mouse and human regulatory regions Evolutionary dynamics of regulatory DNA regions

# Part II:

Transcription factors and networks Conservation of *trans* vs. *cis* regulatory circuitry

# Creating comprehensive maps of mouse regulatory DNA marked by DNase I hypersensitive sites (DHSs)

- 44 cells/tissues studied
- **1.3 million** distinct DHSs
- Avg. 150,000 per cell/ tissue type



Primitive cells / tissues: ES cells, limb, embyronic mesoderm

Integrative comparison with ~3 million DHSs from 230 human cell/tissue types

#### **Comparative analysis of mouse and human regulatory DNA**

Align sequence to human genome through pair-wise alignment



Overlap aligned segments with human DHSs (any cell type)

#### **Comparative analysis of mouse and human regulatory DNA**



#### **Comparative analysis of mouse and human regulatory DNA**



Pervasive turnover of regulatory DNA in placental mammals

# Pervasive turnover of *cis*-regulatory DNA during mammalian evolution



# Pervasive turnover of *cis*-regulatory DNA during mammalian evolution



# Pervasive turnover of *cis*-regulatory DNA during mammalian evolution



The vast majority of mouse and human regulatory DNA is Placental mammal-specific and has undergone rapid evolution

# Evolutionary mechanism #1:

# Functional repurposing of regulatory DNA

## **Extensive functional 'repurposing' of regulatory DNA**



## Extensive functional 'repurposing' of regulatory DNA



# Simple but pervasive sequence changes underlie tissue repurposing

Mechanism for functional repurposing: TF binding site turnover


## Simple but pervasive sequence changes underlie tissue repurposing

Mechanism for functional repurposing: TF binding site turnover





## Simple but pervasive sequence changes underlie tissue repurposing



Conserved TF binding sites are significantly enriched in DHSs with conserved activity



*Tissue-specific DHS landscape* 



*Tissue-specific* DHS landscape

 21% of mouse DHS landscape is shared with a corresponding human tissue



*Tissue-specific* DHS landscape

- 21% of mouse DHS landscape is shared with a corresponding human tissue
- 11% has a conserved TF binding site



*Tissue-specific* DHS landscape

- 21% of mouse DHS landscape is shared with a corresponding human tissue
- 11% has a conserved TF binding site

Given divergent regulatory landscapes, what is maintaining functional conservation in mouse and human?

### *Evolutionary mechanism #2:*

Conservation of global *cis*-regulatory 'content'









Despite poor conservation of individual binding sites, the overall proportion of regulatory DNA 'real estate' available to each TF in each organism remains nearly constant

#### **Rigid conservation of global TF recognition landscapes**

Every TF, every cell type  $\rightarrow$  Different sequence targets, same occupancy fraction





### The regulatory DNA landscape has undergone wholesale rewiring during the mouse-human interval

Humans and mice share a core mammalian regulon encoding cell identity and lineage programs

#### **Regulatory DNA landscape evolution involves**

- Extensive repurposing of elements from one tissue context to another
  - Continuous 're-evolution' on the same ancestral DNA template
- Strict conservation of the proportion of regulatory DNA encoding binding sites for each transcription factor

### Part I: Mouse and human regulatory regions Evolutionary dynamics of regulatory DNA regions

### Part II:

Transcription factors and networks Conservation of *trans* vs. *cis* regulatory circuitry

#### Footprinting the mouse genome



ES cells, limb, embyronic mesoderm







Conservation of TF recognition repertoires

#### Deriving a mouse *cis*-regulatory lexicon

25.8 million mouse DNasel footprints Database independent, *de novo* motif discovery

604 unique motif models

#### Deriving a mouse *cis*-regulatory lexicon



#### Deriving a mouse cis-regulatory lexicon



Human footprint-derived motifs from Neph, Vierstra et al. Nature 2012

#### Mouse-specific motifs are largely selective for ES cells



Conservation of *trans* regulatory circuitry

#### **Building direct TF networks using TF footprints**





- Node: Transcription factor
- Edge: Regulatory interaction between 2 TFs



# Direct TF footprint-derived networks accurately recapitulate known TF network relationships



Neph, Stergachis et al. Cell 2012

#### **TF-to-TF connections are cell-selective**



#### **TF-to-TF connections are cell-selective**





#### **Conservation of TF-to-TF connections**



#### **Conservation of TF-to-TF connections**



#### **Conservation of global TF network architecture**



Neph, Stergachis et al. Cell 2012

#### **Conservation of global TF network architecture**



#### **Conservation of global TF network architecture**



#### **Conservation of fine network architecture**



#### **Conservation of fine network architecture**


Stepping away from the genome: Where evolution is really acting









# Acknowledgements - Mouse

Key experiments/analyses

Jeff Vierstra, Andrew Stergachis, Shane Neph Eric Rynes, Matthew Maurano, Eric Haugen, Richard Sandstrom, Richard Humbert, Bob Thurman, Alex Reynolds, Benjamin Vernot, Wenqing Fu

#### Mouse DNasel pipeline group

Miaohua Zhang, Rachael Byron, Peter Sabo, Theresa Cantwell, Kristen Lee Shinny Vong, Vaughan Roach, Erica Giste Sandra Stehling-Sun

<u>Collaborators</u> Mark Groudine (FHCRC) M.A. Bender (FHCRC) Piper Treuting (UW Comparative Med) Elhanan Borenstein (UW Genome Sciences) Joshua Akey (UW Genome Sciences) Shelly Heimfeld (FHCRC) <u>Mouse ENCODE Consortium</u>



## NHGRI (MouseENCODE)