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Why care about Evolutionary Analysis?

What do
• BLAST
• Protein motif searching
• Multiple sequence alignment

Have in common?
Why care about Evolutionary Analysis?

Understand the fundamentals of life

Gene discovery – inferring gene function, gene annotation, gene family identification

Origins of a genetic disease, characterization of polymorphisms

Koski LB, Golding GB
The closest BLAST hit is often not the nearest neighbor.
Evolutionary Analysis: Key Concepts

- Foundation of most bioinformatic analyses: Evolutionary theory
- Unique verses non-unique characters
- Sequence alignments are important!
- Fundamentals of phylogenetics and interpreting phylogenetic trees (with cautionary notes)
- Overview of some common phylogenetic methods
- Appreciate the need for new algorithms

18th and 19th centuries: The evolution of a theory

- Earth erosion, sediment deposition, strata – present earth conditions provide keys to the past
18th and 19th centuries: The evolution of a theory

- Discoveries of fossils accumulated
  - Remains of unknown but still living species that are elsewhere on the planet?
  - Cuvier (circa 1800): the deeper the strata, the less similar fossils were to existing species
Part of Darwin’s Theory

- The world is not constant, but changing
- All organisms are derived from common ancestors by a process of branching.
Part of Darwin’s Theory

• This explained...
  – Fossil record
  – Similarities of organisms classified together (shared traits inherited from common ancestor)
  – Similar species in the same geographic region
  – Morphological character-based analysis

What is evolution?

• Think – Pair – Share!

• Come up with a definition of evolution that is 6 words or less. Bonus points for 2-3 words!
Characters

- Heritable changes in features (morphology, DNA sequence etc…)
- The more similar characters you have, the more related you are
- However….. characters can be unique and non-unique
Homoplasy: The formation of tails

- Tails evolved independently in the ancestors of frogs and humans
- Presence of a tail → no useful conclusions

Unique and non-unique characters

- Non-unique: bioinformatics, bioinforatics, bioinforatiols
- Unique: information, inforation, informatios, inforatinos, bioinforatios
Unique and non-unique characters

Example: Sequence analysis of functionally similar transporters

All share the same deleted sequence region, which is not found in any other transporter examined to date

→ Unique character?

→ Further investigate for possible functional significance, or use for classification

Unique and non-unique characters

Example: Sequence analysis of functionally similar transporters

All have isoleucine at the third position in the sequence, however some other transporters have isoleucine there too, while some other transporters have leucine at that position

→ Non-unique.

→ Changes from I → L → I are common (see BLOSUM OR PAM matrices). Not a high priority for further analysis of significance and not useful for classification.
Classification according to characters
– more characters can be good

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofu</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>sometimes</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chicken most similar to Tofu?

Classification according to characters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Legs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>$$$</td>
<td>four</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>$$$</td>
<td>two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>four</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofu</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>sometimes</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Classification according to characters – increasing the number of characters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Legs</th>
<th>Feathers</th>
<th>Hair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>$$$</td>
<td>four</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>$$$</td>
<td>two</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>$$</td>
<td>four</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>two</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofu</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>sometimes</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chicken most similar to Duck?

Evolution and characters – the importance of comparing characters with common origins (homologous)

bioinformatics
bioinformatics
bioinformatics
informatics
information
Evolution and characters

- \textit{bioinformatics}
- \textit{bioinformatics}
- \textit{bioinformatics}
- \textit{---informatics}
- \textit{---informatics}
- \textit{---information}
- \textit{---information}

\begin{itemize}
\item Gaps represent non-homologous positions in the sequence.
\item They reflect the occurrence of insertions/deletions or other rearrangements during the evolutionary process.
\end{itemize}

Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA)

\begin{verbatim}
VTISCTGSSNIGAG-NHVKWYQQLPG
VTISCTGTSSNIGS--ITVNWYQQLPG
LRLSCSSSGFIFSS--YAMYWVRQAPG
LSLTCTVSIGTSFDD--YSTWVRQPPG
PEVTCVVVDVHSHEDPQVFKFNYVDG--
ATLVCILSDFYPGA--VTVAWKADS--
AALGCCLVKDYFPEP--VTVSWNSG---
VSLTCLVKGFYPSD--IAVEWESNG--
\end{verbatim}

\textbf{The sole purpose of multiple sequence alignments is to place homologous positions of homologous sequences into the same column.}
Multiple sequence alignments (MSAs) and phylogenetic analysis

- *First step in any phylogenetic analysis*
- Phylogenetic analysis only as good as the alignment

Clustal: Incorporating Biology into Sequence Alignment Algorithms

- Matrices varied at different alignment stages according to the divergence of the sequences
- Gap penalties differ for hydrophilic regions to encourage new gaps in potential loop regions
- Gapped positions in early alignments - reduced gap penalties to encourage the opening up of new gaps at these positions

MSA options...

- *ClustalW* [http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/] is a classic.
- However, newer *T-Coffee* [http://www.tcoffee.org/] often does better with more distantly related proteins.
- *Muscle* [http://www.drive5.com/muscle/] may be better than T-Coffee at aligning large number of sequences.
- New version of *MAFFT* [http://align.genome.jp/mafft/] has highest currently measured overall accuracy and speed.

See PMIDs: 18229674 17709332 17062146 16362903 15034147
Standard MSA approach  
(first step for phylogenetic analysis)

- Be as sure as possible that the seq's included are homologous and avoid seq's with really different lengths

- Know as much as possible about the gene/protein in question before trying to create an alignment (secondary structure, domain structure…)

- Start with an automated alignment.

- If performing a fully automated procedure, consider using multiple accurate methods and compare where alignment differences occur. PMID: 17709332)

- If you can use a semi-manual approach, examine alignment:
  - Are you confident that aligned residues/bases evolved from a common ancestor?
  - Do the domains of the proteins/predicted secondary structures, etc. appear to be aligning correctly?

  ⇒ No? May edit sequences and redo…

  _______________________________
  ________________________ _   __ _   __ _

  ⇒ Yes? Move on!

- Note indels (insertions and deletions)
  - Possible insights into functionally important regions…
• Use alignment as a basis for subsequent analyses (identify consensus or other pattern recognition, for PSSM, HMM construction, phylogenetic analysis, etc.)

• Consider ... removing unreliably aligned regions for phylogenetic analysis

   ILPITSPSKEYESGKAPDEFSSGG
   ILPEH--IKDDGELGAAPHSFSTAG
   VLPLD-----S--AGRPADSFSAAAG
   VLPVDR--------DGQARDEYT-VG
   VLPVDN--------KGEARDEYT-VG
   LLPYDD--------QGRPQDDYSRAG
   GIVSRS---SNFDGEPKDSYGKVG

   Delete?

• Move on to the tree construction stage of phylogenetic analysis!
**A phylogenetic tree**

- **taxon** -- Any named group of organisms – evolutionary theory not necessarily involved.

- **clade** -- A monophyletic taxon (evolutionary theory utilized)

**A phylogenetic tree with branch lengths**

Branch length can be significant...
In this case the analysis suggests that the mouse sequence/taxon is slightly more similar to fly than human is to fly

(i.e. sum of branches A+B+C is less than sum of A+B+D)
Different Views of the Same Trees

Star-shaped phylogeny.

Phylogenetic analysis

- Organismal relationships
- Gene/Protein relationships
Improving our understanding of organismal relationships

Better appreciation for what sequences may be suitable for analysis of different degrees of divergence

For the tree of life:

1. rRNA genes
2. Multiple genes
3. “Whole genome” datasets of genes
4. rRNA genes and multiple suitable genes

Gene/Protein Relationships

Homolog, ortholog, paralog??
Orthologous or paralogous homologs

Orthologs – diverged only after speciation – tend to have similar function
Paralogs – diverged after gene duplication – some functional divergence occurs
Therefore, for linking similar genes between species, or performing “annotation transfer”, identify orthologs

Identifying Gene/Protein Relationships from Phylogenetic trees

- **orthologs** - Homologs produced only by speciation.
  *ID*: Gene phylogeny matches organismal phylogeny.

- **paralogs** - Homologs produced by gene duplication.
  *ID*: Multiple copies of homologs in a given species, or genes more/less related than expected by organismal phylogeny.

- **xenologs** -- Homologs resulting from horizontal gene transfer between two organisms.
  *ID*: Gene phylogeny does not match organismal phylogeny in a tree where most genes do match organismal phylogeny well.
What are the probable orthologs and paralogs of the fly gene ABC?

Chimpanzee
  - Human
  - Mouse
  - Fly
  - Worm

Chimpanzee gene ABC
  - Human gene XYZ
  - Mouse gene LMNOP
  - Fly gene QRS
  - Fly gene ABC
  - Worm gene EFG

What are the probable orthologs and paralogs of the fly gene ABC?

Chimpanzee
  - Human
  - Mouse
  - Fly
  - Worm

Chimpanzee gene ABC ortholog
  - Human gene XYZ ortholog
  - Mouse gene LMNOP ortholog
  - Fly gene QRS in-paralog
  - Fly gene ABC
  - Worm gene EFG ortholog
What are the probable orthologs and paralogs of the fly genes GIMLI and CUBE?

High Throughput Gene Orthology: How to detect?

- Most common high throughput computational method: Identify reciprocal best BLAST hits (EGO, COGs,...) and cluster (INPARANOID...)

Example Problem:

- If making comparisons between human and bovine, for example, the bovine gene dataset is still quite incomplete

- Therefore, current best hit may be a paralog now and the true ortholog not yet sequenced
Can we improve orthology analysis for linking functionally similar genes?

- One solution: Phylogenetic analysis of all putative orthologs, using one or more species as an outgroup

- Assumption for the case below:
  - Mouse and Human gene datasets are more complete, with more true orthologs identified

Expect (organismal phylogeny):  Reject:

Orthologue software: The beginnings of an automated attempt finds that 1 in 20 genes have unusual divergence! PMID: 16729895

Unusual gene distribution pattern in species? ...remember all the possible explanations!
Unusual Distribution - LGT

Acquires new type of gene

Gene originates here

Unusual Distribution - Gene Loss

Gene lost here

Gene present in ancestor
Unusual Distribution - Incomplete Data

+/-  +/-(Gene present in ancestor)

Hope for the future

Better sampling of all the species in our world

2004: Environmental genomics sampling takes centre stage

Hope for the future

*Better sampling within species in our world*

“So….. how do we construct a phylogenetic tree??”
Most common methods

- Parsimony
- Neighbor-joining
- Maximum Likelihood

Parsimony

- “Shortest-way-from-A-to-B” method
  - The tree implying the least number of changes in character states (most parsimonious) is the best.

- Note:
  - May get more than one tree
  - No branch lengths
  - Uses all character data
**Neighbor-joining**  
(and other distance matrix methods)

- “speedy-and-popular” method
- distance matrix constructed
- distance estimates the total branch length between a given two species/genes/proteins
- Neighbor-joining approach: Pairing those sequences that are the most alike and using that pair to join to next closest sequence.

---

**Maximum Likelihood**

- “Inside-out” approach
- produces trees and then sees if the data could generate that tree.
- gives an estimation of the likelihood of a particular tree, given a certain model of nucleotide substitution.
- Notes:
  - *All* sequence info (including gaps) is used
  - Based on a specific model of evolution – gives probability
  - Verrrrrrrrrrry slow (unless topology of tree is known)
How reliable is a result?

- Non-parametric bootstrapping
  - analysis of a sample of (eg. 100 or 1000) randomly perturbed data sets.
  - perturbation: random resampling with replacement, (some characters are represented more than once, some appear once, and some are deleted)
  - perturbed data analysed like real data
  - number of times that each grouping of species genes/genes/proteins appears in the resulting profile of cladograms is taken as an index of relative support for that grouping

Bootstrapping

The number of times a particular branch is formed in the tree (out of the X times the analysis is done) can be used to estimate its probability, which can be indicated on a consensus tree

High bootstrap values don’t mean that your tree is the true tree!

Alignment and evolutionary assumptions are key
Phylogenetic Tree Construction:
Examples of Common Software

**ClustalX**
http://bips.u-strasbg.fr/fr/Documentation/ClustalX/
- Incorporates a simple neighbor-joining method with MSA
- Good for a quick view of the phylogeny

**Extensive list of software**

ClustalX (incorporates a simple neighbor joining method with MSA – good for a quick view of the phylogeny)
http://bips.u-strasbg.fr/fr/Documentation/ClustalX/

**PHYLIP (a classic – many web-based versions also made)**

**PAUP**
http://paup.csit.fsu.edu/

**MEGA 2.1**
www.megasoftware.net/
 Phylogenetic Tree Viewing

**TREEVIEW**
http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/treeview.html

**ITOL**
INTERACTIVE TREE OF LIFE
http://itol.embl.de/

Welcome to ITOL!
Interactive Tree Of Life is an online tool for the display and manipulation of phylogenetic trees. It provides most of the features available in other tree viewers, and offers an novel circular tree layout, which makes easy to visualize mid-sized trees (up to several thousand leaves). Trees can be exported to several graphical formats, both bitmap and vector based...

If you are using ITOL, you can create a personal ITOL account. It will allow you to access your trees from anywhere, organize them into workspaces and projects and easily manage datasets and other tree files. Your trees are kept private and shared locally in ITOL. You can easily share your trees with others by sending them a link to your tree in ITOL. Detailed list and explanation of available features can be accessed here.

If you already have an account, go to the login page.

---

**Phylogenetic Tree Viewing**

http://itol.embl.de/
Phylogenetics – More info

- a good starting book, clearly describing the basis of molecular evolution theory. It is a 1997 book, so is starting to get out of date.

- by two very well respected researchers in the field. A bit more in-depth than the previous book, and generally very useful.

Evolutionary Analysis: Key Concepts

• Foundation of most bioinformatic analyses: Evolutionary theory

• Unique verses non-unique characters

• Sequence alignments are important!

• Fundamentals of phylogenetics and interpreting phylogenetic trees (with cautionary notes)

• Overview of some common phylogenetic methods

• Appreciate the need for new algorithms
Computational Challenges

- Need to incorporate more evolutionary theory into the multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic algorithms used in phylogenetic analysis

- Phylogenetic analyses are computationally intensive – great way to benchmark your CPU speed!

- Automating a continually-updated generation of the Tree of Life, for all genomically sequenced organisms, as more and more genome sequences are determined…
  
  (See Ciccarelli et al 2006 - PMID: 16513982 for an excellent start)
More Challenges

• *Increasing the sampling of our genetic world*

• More accurately differentiating orthologs, paralogs, and horizontally acquired genes

• How frequent is gene loss, gene duplication, and horizontal gene transfer in genome evolution?

• To what degree can we predict protein/gene function using phylogenetic analysis?

Remember:
Evolutionary theory is evolving…

"I've only just bought this bronze stuff and you're telling me I ought to upgrade to iron?"