NIH Workplace Climate and Harassment Survey Results

Hannah Valantine, M.D. Chief Officer for Scientific Workforce Diversity

November 8, 2019





National Institutes of Health Office of the Director Scientific Workforce Diversity



Background

Harassment is bad for science, public health, and the NIH

"The cumulative effect of sexual harassment is a significant and costly loss of talent in academic science, engineering, and medicine, which has consequences for advancing the nation's economic and social wellbeing and its overall public health."



SOURCE: National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2018. Sexual Harassment of Women: Climate, Culture, and Consequences in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/24994.

Survey Goals

- 1. Prevalence of harassment
- 2. NIH workplace climate associated with harassment
- 3. Impact of harassment on psychological and physical health
- 4. Perspectives on reporting harassment



Survey Development

- Conceptual model based on literature review and expert panel input
- Items based on existing validated survey items (e.g. Sexual Experiences Questionnaire - SEQ*) when available
- Items refined based on cognitive testing and in a pilot study with 5,000 general population participants

SOURCE: Fitzgerald, Louise F., Vicki J. Magley, Fritz Drasgow, and Craig R. Waldo. "Measuring sexual harassment in the military: the sexual experiences questionnaire (SEQ—DoD)." *Military Psychology* 11, no. 3 (1999): 243-263.

Survey Results Timeline

- January to March 2019: Survey administered
- May 2019: Preliminary findings provided to NIH
- October & November 2019: Analyses provided to NIH
- January 2020: Interactive data visualizations draft provided
- Findings by IC in progress
- Final report and final visualizations planned for Spring 2020
- Process for requesting further analyses in development

Note: To protect respondent privacy and confidentiality, NIH has no access to individual-level data – NIH relies on the contractor for all analyses

Respondent Characteristics

- Invited NIH employees, trainees (per training database), and contractors (as permitted by their companies)
- 44% response rate (15,794 of 36,228 valid email addresses)
- Comparison of percent of NIH employee respondents to percent of NIH employee population:
 - <u>Women more likely to respond (62% vs. 58%)</u>
 - <u>Age group of 18–34</u> more likely to respond (18% vs. 10%)
 - Respondents by IC were within 1% of the distribution of NIH employees by IC, except:
 - Clinical Center: 8% of respondents but 12% of NIH employees
 - NCI: 16% of respondents but 18% of NIH employees
- Decided not to weight responses to population of NIH employees
 - Limited number of population variables available for weighting
 - No population data available for trainees and contractors
- Caution should be exercised in generalizing results to all NIH employees, trainees, and contractors

Incidence of Sexual Harassment Experience among Respondents

- Sexual Experiences Questionnaire (SEQ) used as an indicator of sexual harassment experiences
- SEQ describes 25 experiences in three subcategories: gender harassment, unwanted sexual attention, sexual coercion
- For the survey, SEQ was scored based on an incident count:
 - Any Harassment (1 or more experiences for any of the 25 questions)
 - Any Gender Harassment (1 or more experiences for any of the gender harassment questions)
 - Any Unwanted Sexual Attention (1 or more experiences for any of the unwanted sexual harassment questions)
 - Any Sexual Coercion (1 or more experiences for any of the sexual coercion questions)

- One in five respondents indicated at least one sexual harassment experience in the past 12 months
- Higher incidence rates for women, trainees (fellows and students), younger individuals, sexual and gender minorities, and individuals with disabilities

- Over half of the respondents who experienced sexual harassment in the past 12 months did not talk to anyone about their experience
- Their primary reasons were that they did not think it was serious enough or they were concerned that their career might suffer
- Of the respondents who talked to someone about their experience, one-third felt their complaint was not taken seriously

 Respondents experiencing sexual harassment were less likely to indicate that supervisors value their work, are fair, and consider their opinions

- More than one-quarter of the respondents experiencing sexual harassment indicated experiencing bullying in the workplace
- Incivility and bullying in the workplace was associated with higher rates of sexual harassment and job dissatisfaction

Types of Sexual Harassment Identified

1 in 5 survey respondents indicated at least one sexual harassment experience in the past 12 months



*Respondents could report more than one subcategory of sexual harassment

*67 respondents indicated a gender identity other than man or woman – not represented on this slide

Implications

- Incidence of sexual harassment found from this survey was less than found in other surveys of academic institutions*
 - NIH survey asked about incidence only in the past 12 months (for repeated survey purposes); other surveys typically use longer periods
- Given NIH's zero tolerance policy, our goal is to work to get these incidence rates to 0, whether or not NIH staff have less harassment experiences compared to other organizations
- Small number of sexual coercion experiences (41) precludes further breakdowns without violating commitment not to display cells < 15 for confidentiality reasons
 - Experiences of this type will require serious prevention efforts and perpetrator consequences

SOURCE: National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2018. Sexual Harassment of Women: Climate, Culture, and Consequences in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/24994.



WHO IS MORE VULNERABLE TO HARASSMENT?

www.diversity.nih.gov

Women Are More Vulnerable to Harassment



- Overall rate for women was 27%
 - Although a small sample, 30 of 67 (44.8%) of those indicating a gender identity other than man or woman experienced sexual harassment in the past 12 months

Implications

- Need to focus efforts to prevent harassment to protect vulnerable populations particularly among:
 - Trainees and younger employees
 - Sexual and Gender Minorities
 - Disabled

Workplace Climate Factors Associated with Harassment



Note: Percent of those experiencing any sexual harassment based on the specified responses to these workplace climate questions

Implications

- <u>Supervisors who are perceived as less</u> <u>supportive</u> are associated with higher percentages of staff who have experienced harassment
- <u>Bullying and other forms of incivility</u> in the workplace are associated with higher percentages of staff who have experienced harassment

Specifics of the Sexual Harassment Experience

- The following results are only from those who reported any sexual harassment in the past 12 months
- If respondents had more than one experience, they were asked to respond based on the experience that had the greatest effect on them

Where Harassment Occurred

- Primarily occurred in a building owned or leased by NIH: 88%
- Occurred during a conference: **5.4%**
- Occurred at a NIH-related social event : 12.5%

Implications

- Anecdotally, sexual harassment is believed to occur at social gatherings and at conferences where interpersonal boundaries are less clear, but the survey data show that most experiences of harassment occur in the workplace
 - This finding may be due to opportunity staff spend most of their work-related activities at their workplace, not outside of it
- NIH should continue to address maintaining appropriate interpersonal boundaries outside of the workplace, but appropriate interpersonal boundaries also need to be reinforced in the workplace

Who Are the Perpetrators?

Most sexual harassment perpetrators were men and NIH employees



Note: Respondents were asked about the sexual harassment experience that had the most impact on them

www.diversity.nih.gov

Who Do Those Who Have Been Sexually Harassed Talk to About Their Experience?

- 54% of those who were harassed did not talk about the experience to anyone
- Of those who did talk to someone (could choose more than one):
 - 61.7% talked to a co-worker
 - 24.0% talked to supervisor or manager
 - 7.3% talked to to CIVIL or EDI
 - 3.5% talked to someone at the Ombudsman office
 - 3.4% talked to someone in an outside organization or agency

Why Not?

Many respondents believed it was <u>not serious enough</u> and/or that <u>their</u> <u>career might suffer</u> if they did report



Note: Respondents could select more than one response

Are Reports of Sexual Harassment Taken Seriously?

Of respondents who reported their sexual harassment experiences, up to one-third felt their complaint was <u>not taken seriously</u> by the entity to whom they reported or talked



Workplace Outcomes of Reporting

Of respondents who talked to someone about their sexual harassment experiences, many experienced negative workplace outcomes



Note: Respondents could select more than one response

Implications

- Encourage greater reporting of harassment experience, particularly dispelling concern that it might not be serious enough* or that career might suffer
- Address concern, real or perceived, that about one-third of respondents do not think their report was taken seriously
- Better educate NIH workforce regarding how to treat someone who has reported harassment

*Option to talk to co-worker, Ombudsman or EAP confidentially if not sure if it is serious enough

Understanding of NIH Policies



Potential Consequences of Sexual Harassment

- Physical health: 5.3% of those experiencing any harassment indicate being in poor or very poor health, vs 2.4% of those not experiencing harassment
- Mental Health: 15.4% of those experiencing any harassment scored 7 or higher on PROMIS GMH (mental health measures), vs. 7.2% of those not experiencing harassment
- Job Satisfaction: 19.5% of those experiencing any harassment scored 4 or less on the Michigan Job Satisfaction scale, vs. 9.1% of those not experiencing harassment

ULAS DE SAL DE LA LA CALENCE (CALEN) Secondo Calendario (Calendario (Calendario) Mandre Calendario (Calendario)

Implications

- Those who have experienced sexual harassment in the past 12 months also experience worse physical and mental health, and lower job satisfaction.
- Cannot infer causality



www.diversity.nih.gov

Workplace Climate and Harassment Survey Next Steps

- Executive report
- Data visualizations
- Share with NIH workforce
- Further analyses Data Access Committee
- Explore plans for follow-up survey

QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION



Scientific Workforce Diversity

www.diversity.nih.gov

APPENDIX

NIH Workplace Climate and Harassment Survey: Supplementary Data for Discussion

www.diversity.nih.gov

Appendix Contents

- 31. NIH Conceptual Model of Harassment
- 32. Characteristics of Survey Respondents
- 33. Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Gender Identity
- 34. Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Sexual Orientation
- 35. Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Age and NIH Experience
- 36. Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Education
- 37. Outcomes of Reporting Sexual Harassment
- 38. Understanding of NIH Policies and Procedures
- 39. Understanding of NIH Reporting Procedures
- 40. Evaluation of NIH Encouragement of Harassment Reporting
- 41. Job Gender Context and Sexual Harassment
- 42. Survey Response Rate by ICO
- 43. Workplace Incivility
- 44. Rates of Bullying Overall
- 45. Bullying and Sexual Harassment
- 46. Intimidating Behaviors and Sexual Harassment
- 47. Supervisor Follow-up Activities to Address Harassment
- 48. Job Gender Context
- 49. Perceived Equity
- 50. Perceived Support
- 51. Perceived Support (2)
- 52. Organizational Climate Elements
- 53. Structural Equation Model: Harassment at NIH
- 54. Structural Equation Model Results
- 55. Structural Equation Model Results (2)
- 56. Potential Target Audience: Supervisors/Managers
- 57. Potential Target Audience: NIH Leadership
- 58. Potential Target Audience: Coworkers/Bystanders
- 59. Expanded List: Why Is Sexual Harassment Not Reported

NIH Conceptual Model of Harassment



www.diversity.nih.gov
Characteristics of Survey Respondents

		# of Survey Respondents	% of Survey Respondents	% of All NIH Federal Employees
Gender				
	Men	3,875	38%	42%
	Women	6,291	62%	58%
Age				
	18-24	484	5%	1%
	25-34	1,270	13%	9%
	35-44	2,227	22%	22%
	45-54	3,175	31%	29%
	55-64	2,100	21%	28%
	65 or older	871	9%	10%

Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Gender Identity



Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Sexual Orientation



Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Age and NIH Experience



Prevalence of Sexual Harassment, by Education/Degrees Received



Outcomes of Reporting Sexual Harassment, by Person Reported to



Respondent Understanding of NIH Policies and Procedures



Respondent Understanding of How NIH Employees Can Report Harassment



Respondent Evaluation of NIH Encouragement of Harassment Reporting



Job Gender Context

Respondents experiencing sexual harassment were more likely to report a higher proportion of men in their work unit (x2=18.8, p<0.01).



Survey Response Rate by ICO



Workplace Incivility

Over 40% of respondents experienced at least one incident of workplace incivility in the past 12 months.

"During the past 12 months while working at the NIH, how many times did someone in your work unit...

- Ignore you or exclude you from work activities that you should have been involved in?
- Doubt your judgment on a matter for which you have responsibility?
- Put you down or was condescending to you?
- Pay little attention to what you said or showed little interest in your opinion?
- Make demeaning or derogatory remarks about you?
- Make unwanted attempts to draw you into a discussion of personal matters?
- Threaten to hurt you physically?
- Display intimidating behaviors such as fingerpointing, invasion of personal space, shoving, or blocking your way?"*



*Adapted from Estes, Brad, and Jia Wang. "Workplace incivility: impacts on individual and organizational performance." *Human Resource Development Review* 7, no 2 (2008): 218-240.

Bullying

Over 10% of respondents experienced <u>at least one</u> incident of bullying in the past 12 months.



Bullying & Sexual Harassment

Respondents experiencing any sexual harassment also experienced <u>higher rates</u> of bullying in the past 12 months.



Intimidating Behaviors & Sexual Harassment

Respondents experiencing any sexual harassment also experienced <u>more</u> intimidating behaviors in the past 12 months.*



*Behaviors such as finger-pointing, invasion of personal space, shoving, or blocking someone's way.

Supervisor Follow-up Activities to Address Harassment

Supervisors are *infrequently* taking direct action to address harassment.



Job Gender Context

Respondents reporting one or more sexual harassment experiences were more likely to work in jobs they consider traditionally more for men than women or mostly for men.*



*Multivariate regression analysis: $\chi^2 = 198$, p < 0.01

Perceived Equity

Respondents experiencing sexual harassment were <u>less likely</u> to indicate supervisors <u>valued</u> their work, <u>were fair</u>, and <u>considered their opinions</u>.*



*Multivariate regression analysis: $\chi^2 = 89.3$, p < 0.01; $\chi^2 = 25.1$, p < 0.01; and $\chi^2 = 16.4$, p < 0.01, respectively.

Perceived Support

Respondents experiencing sexual harassment were <u>more likely</u> to believe that NIH <u>will not intervene</u> to stop a supervisor telling individuals that the way to get ahead is to date him/her.



*Multivariate regression analysis: $\chi^2 = 43$, p < 0.01

Respondents experiencing sexual harassment were <u>more likely</u> to believe that NIH <u>would not intervene</u> to stop a supervisor from talking about their sex lives.



*Multivariate regression analysis: $\chi^2 = 54.8$, p < 0.01

Job Gender Context

If the job is traditionally done by men or by women.

The proportion of men to women in an individual's work unit.

Perceived Support

Perceived likelihood that NIH would intervene to stop a supervisor from talking about their sex life or telling them that the way to get ahead is to date him or her.

Perception that NIH encourages people to report harassment.

Perception of Equity

Belief that individual's supervisor...

- Takes into account opinions of people in the work unit.
- Is fair in decisions regarding the work unit (e.g., salary).
- Values their work.

Structural Equation Model: Harassment at NIH



Structural Equation Model Results

Factors inversely associated with harassment experiences

- Respondents with lower levels of perceived support at work experienced significantly more instances of all types of harassment.¹
- Respondents with lower levels of perception of equity experienced significantly more instances of non-sexual harassment.²

¹Structural Equation Model: Gender harassment ($\beta = -0.11$, p = 0.00); sexual coercion ($\beta = -0.02$, p = 0.03); initiate contact ($\beta = -0.04$, p = 0.00); sexual content ($\beta = -0.05$, p = 0.00); aggressive non-sexual harassment ($\beta = -0.12$, p = 0.00); demeaning non-sexual harassment ($\beta = -0.09$, p = 0.00)

²Structural Equation Model: Aggressive non-sexual harassment ($\beta = -0.24$, p = 0.00); demeaning non-sexual harassment ($\beta = -0.63$, p = 0.00)

Structural Equation Model Results

Factors associated with work and health outcomes

- Respondents with more instances of demeaning non-sexual harassment had significantly higher levels of withdrawal from work.¹
- Respondents with more instances of demeaning non-sexual harassment had significantly higher levels of job dissatisfaction.²
- Harassment experiences not associated with mental and physical health outcomes.

¹Structural Equation Model: ($\beta = 0.55$, p = 0.00) ²Structural Equation Model: ($\beta = 1.09$, p = 0.00)

Potential Target Audience: Supervisors/Managers

Question What audiences should NIH prioritize in its outreach efforts to prevent and address harassment?

Finding Supervisors are a key channel through which reports of sexual harassment are voiced (15%). **Perception of equity** (e.g., supervisor fairness) was a significant predictor of non-sexual harassment. Of supervisors observed dealing with a sexist or racist remark (n = 2,483), 59.5% of respondents indicated that the supervisor did not speak up.

Recommendation NIH supervisors/managers could be a primary audience for intervention.

Potential Target Audience: NIH Leadership

Question What audiences should NIH prioritize in its outreach efforts to prevent and address harassment?

Finding Perception of support was a significant predictor of harassment. Respondents experiencing sexual harassment were less likely to believe that NIH would intervene to stop a supervisor from talking about his/her sex life or telling employees that the way to get ahead is to date him/her.

Recommendation NIH leadership could be a primary audience for intervention.

Potential Target Audience: Coworkers/Bystanders

Question What audiences should NIH prioritize in its outreach efforts to prevent and address harassment?

Finding Coworkers most frequently hear reports of harassment from other coworkers. Individuals reporting harassment may no longer feel a part of the work unit, experience worse work conditions, or have coworkers gossip about them in an unkind way. Also, **perceived support** is a significant predictor of all types of harassment; this climate factor may be substantially driven by individual-level social interactions.*

Recommendation NIH coworkers/bystanders could be a primary audience for intervention.

*SOURCE: Cantisano, Gabriela Topa, JF Morales Domínguez, and Marco Depolo. "Perceived sexual harassment at work: metaanalysis and structural model of antecedents and consequences." *The Spanish Journal of Psychology* 11, no. 1 (2008): 207-218.

Expanded List: Why Is Sexual Harassment Not Reported?

Many respondents did not report sexual harassment because they believed it was <u>not</u> <u>serious enough</u>; 65% cited concerns about <u>career repercussions</u>.



NOTE: Respondents could select more than one response